



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231  
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/578,440      | 05/25/2000  | Hajime Washio        | 49855(904)          | 6115             |

7590 02/13/2003

Dike Bronstein Roberts & Cushman LLP  
Intellectual Property Practice Group  
Edwards & Angell  
P O Box 9169  
Boston, MA 02209

EXAMINER

ABDULSELAM, ABBAS I

| ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
|----------|--------------|
|----------|--------------|

2674

DATE MAILED: 02/13/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|                          |                                       |                                      |  |
|--------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| <b>Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b><br>09/578,440  | <b>Applicant(s)</b><br>WASHIO ET AL. |  |
|                          | <b>Examiner</b><br>Abbas I Abdulsalam | <b>Art Unit</b><br>2674              |  |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Abbas I Abdulsalam. (3) \_\_\_\_.
- (2) Steven Johnson. (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 11 March 2003.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 16, 20 and 21.

Identification of prior art discussed: Ishii (USPN 6081131) and Moriyama et al. (USPN 6232945).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.


Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The applicant explained the level shifter of the application which receives the clock signal and then is directed to the start signal as opposed to the level shifter that is mentioned in Moriyama reference which is a sequence of clock signals. The examiner points out, however, that the distinction is not reflected in the claims.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

- i) ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

  
Examiner's signature, if required